

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,493	03/08/2002	Tsutomu Watada	NIL-176	1276
23353	7590 05/13/2003			
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501			EXAMINER	
			LUEBKE, RENEE S	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$M \leq 1$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/092,493	WATADA & NAKAZAWA				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Renee S. Luebke	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondenc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	. 136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) No te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	าท					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8.9 and 11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 March 2002</u> is/are:	a)□ accepted or b)⊠ obj	ected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) .				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language p 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	• •					
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/092,493

Art Unit: 2833

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on March 16, 2001. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Japanese application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Page 2

- 2. The drawings are objected to because section lines (i.e. SA) should be labeled to reflect the figure where the section view is to be found. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. Claims 8, 10 and 11 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot be dependent upon another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 8, 10 and 11 have not been further treated on the merits.
- 4. Claim 1 is objected to because on line 1, it appears that "of" should be changed to -for-, and on line 8 "relation" should be deleted.

Claims 7 and 9 (when dependent upon claim 4) are objected to because "the ring shaped first fixed portion" and "the ring shaped second fixed portion" on lines 4 and 6, respectively, lack antecedent basis.

Appropriate corrections are required.

5. Claims 2-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 2 and 3 initially claim a "positioning structure" and include structure in the preamble. However, the limitations following the preamble, on which a patentability determination would be based, are to steps of a positioning method. Both the structure and the method cannot be claimed in the same claim.

Art Unit: 2833

1

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless – (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Halberg, et al. This inhibitor switch comprises the claimed structure and is used as claimed. In particular, the positioning method comprises temporarily fastening the housing 12 and moving block 20 with an easily broken portion 64, fixing the switch on the automobile (column 3, line 31-33), and then releasing the members by breaking the easily broken portion (column 3, lines 33-35).
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hayasaki is a further example of positioning the switch members prior to installation.
- 9. Any response to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

For additional information regarding this new address, which was effective May 1, 2003, see Correspondence with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, 68 Fed. Reg. 14332 (March 25, 2003).

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9318 or 308-7722 or 308-7724 (informal or draft communications should be clearly labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered r sponses should be brought to:

Crystal Plaza 4, Fourth Floor (Receptionist) 2201 South Clark Place, Arlington, Virginia.

Art Unit: 2833

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Renee Luebke whose telephone number is (703) 308-1511.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Paula Bradley, can be reached at (703) 308-2319.

Renee S. Luebke

Primary Patent Examiner

May 9, 2003